

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/058,360	01/28/2002	Marcia Lynn Brandt	ROC919960172US2	5127
75	90 11/01/2005		EXAMINER	
IBM Corporat			PESIN, BORIS M	
Intellectual Prop 3605 Highway	perty Law, Dept. 917 52 North	•	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
Rochester, MN 55901-7829			2174	

DATE MAILED: 11/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Application No. Applicant(s) **Advisory Action** 10/058,360 BRANDT ET AL.

Defense the Fillian of an Annual Drief	,					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Boris Pesin	2174				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 16 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE TH	IS APPLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.				
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	f Appeal. To avoid at ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (	pandonment of ence, which CFR 41.31; or			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE F	f the final rejection.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month parned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee, atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)			
2. $\boxtimes$ The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>08/08/2005</u> . A brief in						
date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any repl	any extension thereof (37 CFR 41. ly must be filed within the time peri	37(e)), to avoid dismi od set forth in 37 CFI	ssal of the R 41.37(a).			
AMENDMENTS						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	onsideration and/or search (see NC		because			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially r	educing or simplifying	g the issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		ejected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		•	,			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	allowable if submitted in a separate	e, timely filed amendr	nent canceling			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	out before or on the date of filing a nd sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will wit or other evidence	not be entered is necessary			
9.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apports ry and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the consideration of the	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or atta	ched.			
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered b	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allow	ance because:			
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)	. (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	•			
13. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	X	KRISTINE KINCAID	raid			
	SUPERV	ISORY PATENT EXAM NOLOGY CENTER 21	MINER			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation of 13. Other: The Applicant has not provided sufficient reasons for why the affidavit filled 09/16/2005 was not presented earlier. Correcting deficiencies in an earlier filled affidavit is not a sufficient reason..